In place of PTO-1449			F COMMERCE MARK OFFICE	Complete if Known	
Form				Application Number	Ex Parte Reexamination of 6,426,916
INFORM	ATION DISCLOSU		EMENT BY	Filing Date	Herewith
(u	APPLICA se as many sheets	•	ary)	Applicant(s)	
	•			Art Unit	To be Determined
				Examiner Name	To be Determined
SHEET 1 (Exhibits) OF 3 (Exhibits)			3 (Exhibits)	Attorney Docket Number	38512.3

U. S. PATENT DOCUMENTS						
Examiner's Initials	Exhibit	Document Number	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document		
	Α	6,426,916	07-30-2002	Farmwald, et al.		
	0	5,590,086	12-31-1996	Park ol of.		
	F	4,480,307	10-30-1984	Budde, et al.		
	G	4,315,308	02-09-1982	Jackson		
	J	4,933,910	06-12-1990	Olson, et al.		
Z	K	5,361,277	03-30-1989	11-01-1994		

			FOREIGN PATENT (DOCUMENTS			
Examiner's Initials	Exhibit	Translation Y/N					
		JP S56-047996	04-30-1981	Yoshida	Yoe		
		GB 3107533	05-18-1988	Lofgron, et al			
•		NON	-PATENT LITERATU	RE DOCUMENTS			
Examiner's Initials	Exhibit	·	volume-issue numbe	LLETTERS), title of the article, title of er(s), publisher, city/country where publ	ished		
	C	Joint Electron Device Engineering Council (JEDEC) Standard No. 21-C, Revision 9 published in 1999 ("JEDEC Standard")					
	D, Tab	Hyundal, HY5DV651622, Rev. 0.9, published January 2000 ("Hynix -1") Hyundal, SDRAM Timing Diagram, Rev. 1.2, published December 1999 ("Hynix -2")					
	D, Tab 2						
	D, Tab 3 Hyundai, DDR SDRAM DEVICE OPERATION, Rev. 0.2, published December 1998 ("Hynix -3")						
	E, Tab 1	Intel Corporation, IAPY 432 Interconnect Architecture Reference Manual, published in 1982 ("the IAPX Manual")					
	E, Tab 2	memory control uni	t (MCU), published M	s for IAPX 43204 Bus-Interface Unit (Blarch 1983 ("the IAPX Specification")			
/	Н	Rau et al., The Cydra January 1989 ("Rau"		computer Design Philosophies, Decisions,	and Tradeoffs, published in		

Examiner	1	Date	
Examiner Signature	·	Considered	

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include a copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

In place of U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PTO-1449 PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Form		Complete if Known		
		Application Number	Ex Parte Reexamination of 5,794,060	
INFORMA	ATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT	Filing Date	Herewith	
(US	e as many sheets as necessary)	Applicant(s)		
	•	Art Unit	To be Determined	
'		Examiner Name	To be Determined	
SHEET	2 (Exhibits) OF 3 (Exhibits)	Attorney Docket Number	33142.9	

		NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS
Examiner's initials	Exhibit	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article, title of the item, date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city/country where published
	М	Johnson et al., A Variable Delay Line PLL for CPU - Coprocessor Synchronization, IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits, vol. 23, no. 5, published October 1988 ("Johnson")
	N .	Intel Corporation, Memory Components Handbook, Chapter 1 and Chapter 3, Application Note AP-132, Sublished in 1982 and 1985 ("the iRAM reference")
	0	Claim chart for claims 1-5, 10, 12-16, 18-20, 23, 25-32, 35, 37, and 39-41 based on Park, with additional reference to the JEDEC Standard
	Р	Claim chart for claims 1-5, 9-10, 12-16, 18-20, 23, 25-27, 30-32, 35, 37, and 40-41 bared on the JEDEC Standard
	Q	Chaim chart for claims 1-41 of the '916 patent based on the iAPX Manual, with additional reference to Rau, Yoshida, Olson, Johnson, Lofgren, and Grover
	R	Claim chart for claims 1-41 of the '916 patent based on Budde, with additional reference to Rau, Yoshida, Olson, Johnson, Lofgran, and Grover
	s	Patent Family Tree Chart
	т	File History of the '916 patent
	U	File History of U.S. Patent No. 6,452,008 ("the '863 parent patent") (Tab 1 identifies the original disclosure of the '863 parent patent, and Tab 2 identifies the remaining portions of the file history)
	V	Rambus Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Ltd., et al. No. C 05 02298 RMW (N.D. Cal. 2006), Preliminary Infringement Contentions
	w	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., v. Rambus Inc. No. 3:05cv 406 (E.D. Va. 2006), Memorandum Opinion filed July 18, 2006
	×	Hyntx Septiconductor, Inc. et al. v. Rambus Inc., No. CV 00-20905 RMW (N.D. Cal. 2005), Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement Pursuant to Patent Local Rule 448
	× /	Nynix Semiconductor, Inc. et al. v. Rambus Inc., No. CV 00-20905 RMW (N.B. Cal. 2005), Claim Construction Order filed Nov. 15, 2004
	K	Selected Excerpts from the Trial Transcript from Hynix Semiconductor, Inc. et al. v. Rabebus Inc., No. CV 00-20905 RMW (N.D. Cal. 2005).
	AA	Rambus Inc. v. Infineon Technologies et al., No. 3:00cv524 (E.D. Vir. 2001), Memorandum Opinion
K	АВ	Rambus Inc. v. Infineon Technologies AG, 318 F.3d 1081 (Fed. Cir. 2003)

Examiner	Date	
Signature	Consid	dered

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include a copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

In place of U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PTO-1449 PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE				Complete If Known	
Form				L	
WEARING HOLD BION ON HER STATEMENT BY			PACAT DV	Application Number	Ex Parte Reexamination of 5,794,060
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT				Filing Date	Herewith
(us	se as many sheets	as necess	sary)	Applicant(s)	
				Art Unit	To be Determined
				Examiner Name	To be Determined
SHEET 3 (Exhibits) OF 3 (Exhibits)		Attorney Docket Number	33142.9		

		NON-PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS
Examiner's Initials	Exhibit	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article, title of the item, date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city/country where published
	AC	Waters, Hvnlx Told To Pay Damages To Rambus, Financial Times, April 24 2006
	AD	Poletti, Rambus wins victory from Supreme Court - Win Could Lead To Success For Rambus Other Lawsuits Seeking Royalties, San Jose Mercury News, Oct. 07, 2003
	AB	Kanellos, Future of memory market hangs on Rambus trials - It's the trial of the confury, at least as far as the memory industry is concerned, CNET News.com, Feb. 12, 2001, http://news.com.com/Future+of+memory+market+hangs+on +Rambus+trials/2100-1001_3-252404.html
	AF	Appeal Board Decision dated Feb. 12, 2004, Summary of Facts and Submissions for the oppositions filled against European Patent No. 0 525 068
	AG	Summary of Facts and Submissions for the oppositions filed against European Patent No. 1 004 956
	AH, Tab 1	In the Matter of Randus, Inc., FTC Docket No. 9302, Opinion of the Commission
	AH, Tab 2	In the Matter of Rambus, Inc., ETC Docket No. 9302, Concurring Opinion of Commissioner Jon Leibowitz
	AH, Tab 3	In the Matter of Rambus, Inc., FTC Opinibo Docket No. 9302, Order Reversing and Vacating Initial Decision
	Al, Tab 1	Rambus' Final Intringement Contentions in the Hynix Utigation
	Al, Tab 2	Exhibit A (accused products) to Rambus' Final Infringement Contentions
	Al, Tab 8	Exhibit P ('916 infringement chart) to Rambus' Final infringement Contendens
	Al, Tab 4	Exhibit R (Exemplary data sheet) to Rambus' Final infringement Contentions
V	AJ	CD-ROM including present Request for Inter Partes Reexamination and Exhibits
		\

Examiner		Date	
Signature		Considered	
	<u></u>		

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include a copy of this form with next communication to applicant.